arXiv:1409.4838v1 [math.OA] 17 Sep 2014

Full groups of Cuntz–Krieger algebras and Higman–Thompson groups

Kengo Matsumoto
Department of Mathematics
Joetsu University of Education
Joetsu, Niigata 943-8512, Japan

Hiroki Matui
Graduate School of Science
Chiba University
Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

Abstract

In this paper, we will study presentations of the continuous full group Γ_A of a one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) for an irreducible matrix A with entries in $\{0,1\}$ as a generalization of Higman–Thompson groups $V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that the group Γ_A can be represented as a group Γ_A^{tab} of matrices, called A-adic tables, with entries in admissible words of the shift space X_A, and a group Γ_A^{PL} of right continuous piecewise linear functions, called A-adic PL functions, on $[0,1]$ with finite singularities.

1 Introduction

In 1960's, R. J. Thompson has initiated a study of finitely presented simple infinite groups. He has discovered first two such groups in [23]. They are now known as the groups V_2 and T_2. G. Higman has generalized the group V_2 to infinite family of finitely presented infinite groups. One of such family is the groups written $V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ which are called the Higman–Thompson groups. They are finitely presented and their commutator subgroups are simple. Their abelianizations are trivial if N is even, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ if N is odd. K. S. Brown has extended the groups V_N to triplets of infinite families $F_N \subset T_N \subset V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$, and proved that each of the groups is finitely presented ([1]). The Higman–Thompson group V_N is known to be represented as the group of right continuous piecewise linear functions $f : [0,1) \longrightarrow [0,1)$ having finitely many singularities such that all singularities of f are in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$, the derivative of f at any non-singular point is N^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}] \cap [0,1)) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}] \cap [0,1)$ ([23]). See [2] for general reference on these groups.

V. Nekrashevych [19] has shown that the Higman–Thompson group V_N appears as a certain subgroup of the unitary group of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$. The second named author has observed in [18, Remark 6.3] that the subgroup is nothing but the continuous full group Γ_N of $\mathcal{O}_N$, which is also realized as the topological full group of the associated groupoid. Such full groups have arised from a study of orbit equivalence of symbolic dynamics.

Recently the authors have studied full groups of the Cuntz–Krieger algebras and full groups of the groupoids coming from shifts of finite type. The first named author has studied the normalizer groups of the canonical maximal abelian C^*-subalgebras in the Cuntz–Krieger algebras which are called the continuous full groups from the view point of

orbit equivalences of topological Markov shifts and classification of C^*-algebras ([9], [10], etc.), and showed that the continuous full groups are complete invariants for the continuous orbit equivalence classes of the underlying topological Markov shifts ([12], more generally [18]). The second named author has studied the continuous full groups of more general étale groupoids ([16], [17], [18], etc.), and called them the topological full groups of étale groupoids. He has proved that if an étale groupoid is minimal, the topological full group of the groupoid is a complete invariant for the isomorphism class of the groupoid. He has also shown that if a groupoid comes from a shift of finite type, the topological full group is of type F_∞ and in particular finitely presented. He has furthermore obtained that the topological full groups for shifts of finite type are simple if and only if its homology group $H_0(G_A)$ of the groupoid G_A is 2-divisible, and that its commutator subgroups are always simple. We have obtained the following results on the group Γ_A for the topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) defined by an irreducible square matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([12], [14], [18])**.** *Let A and B be irreducible square matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying condition (I) in [5]. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent.*

(2) *The étale groupoids G_A and G_B are isomorphic.*

(3) *The groups Γ_A and Γ_B are isomorphic.*

(4) *The Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ are isomorphic and* $\det(\mathrm{id} - A) = \det(\mathrm{id} - B)$.

Suppose that A is an $N \times N$ matrix and B is an $M \times M$ matrix. It is well-known that the Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism Φ of groups from $\mathbb{Z}^N/(\mathrm{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ to $\mathbb{Z}^M/(\mathrm{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M$ such that $\Phi(u_A) = u_B$ where u_A and u_B are the classes of the vectors $[1, \dots, 1]$ ([22]). Hence the isomorphism classes of the groups Γ_A are completely classified in terms of of the underlying matrices A, so that there exist an infinite family of finitely presented infinite simple groups of the form Γ_A.

In this paper, we will study presentations of the group Γ_A for an irreducible matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ as a generalization of the Higman–Thompson groups $V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$. The group Γ_A is defined as a group of homeomorphisms τ on the shift space X_A of a topological Markov shift (X_A, σ) such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_\tau(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_\tau(x)}(x), \qquad x \in X_A \tag{1.1}$$

for some continuous functions $k_\tau, l_\tau : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$. If the matrix A is the $N \times N$-matrix whose entries are all 1's, the group Γ_A coincides with the Higman–Thompson group V_N of order N.

We will introduce a notion of A-adic PL (piecewise linear) function which is a right continuous piecewise linear function on the interval $[0, 1)$ associated with the matrix A to represent an element of the group Γ_A. The set of A-adic PL functions forms a group

under composition of functions. We also introduce a notion of A-adic table in order to represent elements of Γ_A which is a matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}$$

with entries in admissible words $\nu(i), \mu(i), i = 1, \ldots, m$ of the one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) satisfying certain properties. We may define an equivalence relation of the A-adic tables, and a product structure in the set Γ_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of A-adic tables which makes it a group. We will show the following theorem which is a generalization of a well-known result for the Higman–Thompson groups. Assume that A is an irreducible square matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying condition (I) in [5].

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.3)**.** *There exist canonical isomorphisms of discrete groups among the continuous full group Γ_A, the group Γ_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of A-adic tables, and the group Γ_A^{PL} of the A-adic PL functions on $[0, 1)$, that is*

$$\Gamma_A \cong \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \cong \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{PL}}.$$

Let $1 < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be the Perron eigenvalue of A. For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, we put $d_\tau(x) = l_\tau(x) - k_\tau(x)$ for the continuous functions k_τ, l_τ satisfying (1.1). We define the derivative D_τ of τ as a real valued continuous function on X_A:

$$D_\tau(x) = \beta^{d_\tau(x)}, \qquad x \in X_A.$$

We know that D_τ satisfies the following law of derivative:

$$D_{\tau_2 \circ \tau_1} = D_{\tau_1} \cdot (D_{\tau_2} \circ \tau_1), \qquad D_{\tau^{-1}} = (D_\tau \circ \tau^{-1})^{-1}$$

for $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Gamma_A$ (Proposition 7.9).

The continuous full group Γ_A is isomorphic to the group Γ_A^{PL} of all A-adic PL functions on $[0, 1)$ by the above theorem. We will show that $\tau \in \Gamma_A$ is realized as an A-adic PL function on $[0, 1)$ in the following way, where X_A is endowed with lexicographic order.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 7.10)**.** *There exists an order preserving continuous surjection $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ from the shift space X_A of a one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) to the closed interval $[0, 1]$ such that for any element $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, there exists an A-adic PL function f_τ and a finite set $S_\tau \subset X_A$ satisfying the following properties:*

(i) $f_\tau(\rho_A(x)) = \rho_A(\tau(x))$ *for* $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$,

(ii) $\frac{df_\tau}{dt}(\rho_A(x)) = D_\tau(x)$ *for* $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$.

K. S. Brown has extended the groups $V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ to triplets $F_N \subset T_N \subset V_N$ of infinite discrete groups. In the final section, we will generalize the triplet to the triplet $F_A \subset T_A \subset \Gamma_A$ of infinite discrete groups.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ and by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$, where $1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$. In what follows, we assume that A is irreducible and has no rows or columns identically equal to zero. We denote by X_A the shift space

$$X_A = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{1,\dots,N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of the right one-sided topological Markov shift for A. It is a compact Hausdorff space in natural product topology. The shift transformation σ_A on X_A defined by $\sigma_A((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a continuous surjection on X_A. The topological dynamical system (X_A, σ_A) is called the (right one-sided) topological Markov shift for A. We henceforth assume that A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz–Krieger [5]. The condition (I) for A is equivalent to the condition that X_A is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum.

A word $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ for $\mu_i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ is said to be admissible for X_A if μ appears in somewhere in some element x in X_A. The length of μ is m and denoted by $|\mu|$. We denote by $B_m(X_A)$ the set of all admissible words of length $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m = 0$ we denote by $B_0(X_A)$ the empty word $\emptyset$. We put $B_*(X_A) = \cup_{m=0}^\infty B_m(X_A)$ the set of admissible words of X_A. For two words $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, we denote by $\mu\nu$ the word $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$. For a word $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A)$, the cylinder set $U_\mu \subset X_A$ is defined by

$$U_\mu = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A \mid x_1 = \mu_1, \dots, x_m = \mu_m\}.$$

We put

$$\Gamma_k^+(\mu) = \{(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in B_k(X_A) \mid (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in B_{m+k}(X_A)\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$
$$\Gamma_\infty^+(\mu) = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A \mid (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X_A\}$$

and $\Gamma_*^+(\mu) = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \Gamma_k^+(\mu)$ which is called the follower set of μ. For two words $\mu, \nu \in B_*(X_A)$, we see that $\Gamma_*^+(\mu) = \Gamma_*^+(\nu)$ if and only if $\Gamma_\infty^+(\mu) = \Gamma_\infty^+(\nu)$.

The Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for the matrix A has been defined in [5] as the universal C^*-algebra generated by N partial isometries $S_1, \dots, S_N$ subject to the relations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^* = 1, \qquad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{2.1}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ is the unique C^*-algebra subject to the above relations under the condition (I) for A. By the universality for the relation (2.1), the correspondence $S_i \longrightarrow e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} \in \mathbb{T} = \{e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} \mid t \in [0,1)\}$ yields an action $\rho : \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ called the gauge action. For a word $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ with $\mu_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we denote the product $S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_k}$ by S_μ. Then $S_\mu \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \in B_*(X_A)$. Let $C^*(S_\mu S_\mu^*; \mu \in B_*(X_A))$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_A$ generated by the projections of the form $S_\mu S_\mu^*, \mu \in B_*(X_A)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{D}_A$. It is isomorphic to the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X_A)$ of all complex valued continuous functions on X_A through the correspondence $S_\mu S_\mu^* \in \mathcal{D}_A \longleftrightarrow \chi_\mu \in C(X_A)$ where χ_μ denotes the characteristic function on X_A for the cylinder set U_μ for $\mu \in B_*(X_A)$. We will identify $C(X_A)$ with the subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_A$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$. It is

well-known that the algebra $\mathcal{D}_A$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{O}_A$ ([5, Remark 2.18]). We denote by $U(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $U(\mathcal{D}_A)$ the group of unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and the group of unitaries in $\mathcal{D}_A$ respectively. The normalizer $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ of $\mathcal{D}_A$ in $\mathcal{O}_A$ is defined by

$$N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A) = \{u \in U(\mathcal{O}_A) \mid u\mathcal{D}_A u^* = \mathcal{D}_A\}.$$

For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, the orbit $\mathrm{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)$ of x under σ_A is defined by

$$\mathrm{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} \sigma_A^{-k}(\sigma_A^l(x)) \subset X_A.$$

Hence $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ belongs to $\mathrm{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)$ if and only if there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and an admissible word $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in B_k(X_A)$ such that

$$y = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots).$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ the group of all homeomorphisms on X_A. We have defined in [9] the continuous full group Γ_A for (X_A, σ_A) as in the following way.

Definition 2.1 ([9])**.** Let τ be a homeomorphism on X_A such that $\tau(x) \in \mathrm{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$, so that there exist functions $k_\tau, l_\tau : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying

$$\sigma_A^{k_\tau(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_\tau(x)}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X_A. \tag{2.2}$$

Let Γ_A be the set of all homeomorphisms τ such that there exist continuous functions $k_\tau, l_\tau : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying (2.2). The set Γ_A is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ and is called the *continuous full group* of (X_A, σ_A).

The functions k_τ, l_τ above are called the orbit cocycles for τ. They are not necessarily uniquely determined by τ.

The étale groupoid G_A for the topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) is given by

$$G_A = \{(x, n, y) \in X_A \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \times X_A \mid \text{there exist } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+;\ n = k - l,\ \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y)\}.$$

The topology of G_A is generated by the sets

$$\{(x, k-l, y) \in G_A \mid x \in V, y \in W,\ \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y)\}$$

for open sets $V, W \subset X_A$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Two elements $(x, n, y), (x', n', y') \in G_A$ are composable if and only if $y = x'$ and the product and the inverse are given by

$$(x, n, y) \cdot (x', n', y') = (x, n + n', y'), \qquad (x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x).$$

The unit space $G_A^{(0)}$ is defined by $\{(x, 0, x) \mid x \in X_A\}$, which is identified with X_A. The range map, source map $r, s : G_A \longrightarrow G^{(0)}$ are defiened by $r(x, n, y) = x, s(x, n, y) = y$ respectively. A subset $U \subset G_A$ is called a G_A-set if $r|_U, s|_U$ are injective. For an open G_A-set U, denote by π_U the homeomorphism $r \circ (s|_U)^{-1}$ from $s(U)$ to $r(U)$. The topological full group $[[G_A]]$ of G_A is defined by the group of all homeomorphisms π_U for some compact open G_A-set U such that $s(U) = r(U) = G^{(0)}$ (see [18]). The topological full group $[[G_A]]$ of the étale groupoid G_A for the topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) is naturally identified with the continuous full group Γ_A ([18]).

For words $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$ with $U_\mu \cap U_\nu = \emptyset$, we write $\mu \prec \nu$ if $\mu_1 = \nu_1, \dots, \mu_k = \nu_k$ and $\mu_{k+1} < \nu_{k+1}$ for some k.

A homeomorphism τ on X_A is said to be a *cylinder map* if there exist two families

$$\mu(i) = (\mu_1(i), \mu_2(i), \dots, \mu_{k_i}(i)) \in B_{k_i}(X_A), \qquad i = 1, \dots, m,$$
$$\nu(i) = (\nu_1(i), \nu_2(i), \dots, \nu_{l_i}(i)) \in B_{l_i}(X_A), \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$$

of words such that

$$U_{\nu(i)} \cap U_{\nu(j)} = U_{\mu(i)} \cap U_{\mu(j)} = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \tag{2.3}$$
$$\cup_{i=1}^m U_{\nu(i)} = \cup_{i=1}^m U_{\mu(i)} = X_A, \tag{2.4}$$
$$\Gamma_*^+(\nu(i)) = \Gamma_*^+(\mu(i)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\tau((\nu_1(i), \nu_2(i), \dots, \nu_{l_i}(i), x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, \dots)) = (\mu_1(i), \mu_2(i), \dots, \mu_{k_i}(i), x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, \dots) \tag{2.6}$$

for $(x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, \dots) \in \Gamma_\infty^+(\nu(i))$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since the words $\nu(i), i = 1, \dots, m$ satisfy $U_{\nu(i)} \cap U_{\nu(j)} = \emptyset$, we may reorder them such as $\nu(1) \prec \nu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \nu(m)$. We see that a homeomorphism τ of X_A belong to Γ_A if and only if τ is a cylinder map ([12]).

Lemma 2.2. *For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, there exist $u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ and $\mu(i), \nu(i) \in B_*(X_A), i = 1, \dots, m$ such that*

(1) $u_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*$ *and*

  (a) $S_{\nu(i)}^* S_{\nu(i)} = S_{\mu(i)}^* S_{\mu(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$
  (b) $\sum_{i=1}^m S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)} S_{\mu(i)}^* = 1.$

(2) $f \circ \tau^{-1} = u_\tau f u_\tau^*$ *for $f \in \mathcal{D}_A$.*

Proof. Since τ is a cylinder map, there exist two families of words $\mu(1), \dots, \mu(m)$ and $\nu(1), \dots, \nu(m)$ satisfying (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)} S_{\mu(i)}^* = 1, \qquad S_{\nu(i)}^* S_{\nu(i)} = S_{\mu(i)}^* S_{\mu(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

By putting $u_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*$ we see that u_τ belongs to $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ and satisfies $\chi_{U_\eta} \circ \tau^{-1} = u_\tau \chi_{U_\eta} u_\tau^*$ for all $\eta \in B_*(X_A)$ where $\chi_{U_\eta} = S_\eta S_\eta^*$ so that $f \circ \tau^{-1} = u_\tau f u_\tau^*$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_A$. □

As in [9, Theorem 1.2], [16, Proposition 5.6], there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U(\mathcal{D}_A) \longrightarrow N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A) \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \longrightarrow 1$$

that splits.

It has been proved by the second named author [18] that the homology group $H_0(G_A)$ of the groupoid G_A is isomorphic to the K_0-group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^N/(I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. He has proved that the group Γ_A is simple if and only if $H_0(G_A)$ is 2-divisible. He has also proved that Γ_A is finitely presented and its commutator subgroup $D(\Gamma_A)$ is always simple. As the group Γ_A is non-amenable ([11], [18]), we have

Theorem 2.3 ([18]). *The group Γ_A is a countably infinite, non-amenable, finitely presented discrete group. It is simple if and only if the group $\mathbb{Z}^N/(I-A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ is 2-divisible.*

It has been shown that for two irreducible square matrices A and B, the groups Γ_A and Γ_B are isomorphic if and only if the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ are isomorphic and $\det(1-A) = \det(1-B)$ ([14]). Hence there are many mutually non-isomorphic continuous full groups Γ_A.

3 Realization of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on $L^2([0,1])$

The Higman–Thompson group $V_N, 1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ is represented as the group of right continuous piecewise linear bijective functions $f : [0,1) \longrightarrow [0,1)$ having finitely many singularities such that all singularities of f are in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$, the derivative of f at any non-singular point is N^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}] \cap [0,1)) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}] \cap [0,1)$. In order to represent our group Γ_A as a group of piecewise linear functions on $[0,1)$, we will represent the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ on the Hilbert space H of the square integrable functions $L^2([0,1])$ on $[0,1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure in the following way. We note that the essentially bounded measurable functions $L^\infty([0,1])$ act on H by left multiplication.

Since A is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), its Perron eigenvalue β is greater than one. Let φ be the unique KMS state on $\mathcal{O}_A$ for the gauge action ρ of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$ (see [6]). It satisfies

$$\varphi(S_\mu a S_\mu^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^{|\mu|}}\varphi(a S_\mu^* S_\mu), \qquad a \in \mathcal{D}_A,\ \mu \in B_*(X_A).$$

In particular,

$$\varphi(S_i S_i^*) = \frac{1}{\beta}\varphi(S_i^* S_i), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since $\varphi(S_i^* S_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j)\varphi(S_j S_j^*)$, the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j)\varphi(S_j S_j^*) = \beta\varphi(S_i S_i^*), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N \tag{3.1}$$

holds. Put $p_j = \varphi(S_j S_j^*)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. The equality (3.1) implies that the vector $\begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_N \end{bmatrix}$ is a unique normalized positive eigenvector for the Perron eigenvalue β of the matrix A. For $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, put $p_{ij} = \varphi(S_i S_j S_j^* S_i^*)$ so that

$$p_{ij} = \frac{1}{\beta^2}\varphi(S_j^* S_i^* S_i S_j) = \frac{1}{\beta^2}A(i,j)\varphi(S_j^* S_j) = \frac{1}{\beta}A(i,j)p_j.$$

We set for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

$$p(0) = 0, \qquad p(i) = \sum_{k=1}^i p_k, \qquad q(0,0) = q(i,0) = 0, \qquad q(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^j p_{ik}$$

and define the intervals I_i, I_{ij} in $[0,1)$ by

$$I_i = [p(i-1), p(i)), \tag{3.2}$$
$$I_{ij} = [p(i-1)+q(i,j-1), p(i-1)+q(i,j)). \tag{3.3}$$

The latter interval I_{ij} is empty if $A(i,j)=0$. We set

$$l(I_i) = p(i-1), \qquad r(I_i) = p(i),$$
$$l(I_{ij}) = p(i-1)+q(i,j-1), \qquad r(I_{ij}) = p(i-1)+q(i,j)$$

so that

$$I_i = [l(I_i), r(I_i)), \qquad I_{ij} = [l(I_{ij}), r(I_{ij})).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Keep the above notations.*

(i) $[0,1) = \sqcup_{i=1}^{N} I_i$ *: disjoint union.*

(ii) $I_i = \sqcup_{j=1}^{N} I_{ij}$ *: disjoint union.*

Proof. (i) is clear. (ii) Let $N_i = \mathrm{Max}\{j = 1, \ldots, N \mid A(i,j) = 1\}$. As we have

$$q(i, N_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} p_{ik} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} A(i,k) p_k = p_i,$$

the equality $p(i-1)+q(i,N_i) = p(i)$ holds so that $r(I_{i,N_i}) = r(I_i)$. As the intervals $I_{ij}, I_{ij'}$ are disjoint for $j \neq j'$, one easily sees that $I_i = \sqcup_{j=1}^{N_i} I_{ij} = \sqcup_{j=1}^{N} I_{ij}$. □

We define right continuous functions $f_A, g_1, \ldots, g_N$ in the following way. The function $f_A : [0,1) \longrightarrow [0,1)$ is defined by

$$f_A(x) = \beta(x - l(I_{ij})) + l(I_j) \quad \text{for } x \in I_{ij}$$

so that f_A is linear on I_{ij} with slope β and $f_A(I_{ij}) = I_j$. We set

$$J_i = \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ A(i,j)=1}} I_j.$$

The function $g_i : J_i \longrightarrow I_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$ is defined by

$$g_i(x) = \frac{1}{\beta}(x - l(I_j)) + l(I_{ij}) \quad \text{for } x \in I_j \text{ with } A(i,j) = 1$$

so that g_i is linear on I_j for $A(i,j) = 1$ with slope $\frac{1}{\beta}$ and $g_i(I_j) = I_{ij}$, $g_i(J_i) = I_i$. The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 3.2. *For $i = 1, \ldots, N$, we have*

(i) $f_A(g_i(x)) = x$ *for* $x \in J_i$.

(ii) $g_i(f_A(x)) = x$ *for* $x \in I_i$.

For a measurable subset E of $[0,1)$, denote by χ_E the multiplication operator on H of the characteristic function of E. Define the bounded linear operators $T_{f_A}, T_{g_i}, i = 1, \ldots, N$ on H by

$$(T_{f_A}\xi)(x) = \xi(f_A(x)), \qquad (T_{g_i}\xi)(x) = \chi_{J_i}(x)\xi(g_i(x)) \qquad \text{for } \xi \in H, x \in [0,1).$$

The following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 3.3. *Keep the above notations. We have*

(i) $T_{f_A}^* = \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^N T_{g_i}$.

(ii) $T_{f_A}^* T_{f_A} = \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_{J_i}$.

(iii) $T_{g_i}^* T_{g_i} = \beta\chi_{I_i}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$ *and hence* $\sum_{i=1}^N T_{g_i}^* T_{g_i} = \beta 1$.

(iv) $T_{g_i} T_{g_i}^* = \beta\chi_{J_i}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

We define the operators $s_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$ on H by setting

$$s_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} T_{g_i}^*, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

By the above lemma, we have

Proposition 3.4. *The operators* $s_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$ *are partial isometries such that*

$$s_i s_i^* = \chi_{I_i}, \qquad s_i^* s_i = \chi_{J_i}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

Hence they satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^N s_j s_j^* = 1, \qquad s_i^* s_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) s_j s_j^*, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

Therefore the correspondence $s_i \longrightarrow S_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$ *gives rise to an isomorphism from the* C^**-algebra* $C^*(s_1, \ldots, s_N)$ *on* H *onto the Cuntz–Krieger algebra* $\mathcal{O}_A$.

4 A-adic PL functions

By Proposition 3.4, we may represent $\mathcal{O}_A$ on H by identifying S_i with s_i for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. In this section, we will define piecewise linear (PL) functions on $[0,1)$ associated to the topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A). For $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, define

$$l(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in B_n(X_A) \\ \nu \prec \mu}} \varphi(S_\nu S_\nu^*), \qquad r(\mu) = l(\mu) + \varphi(S_\mu S_\mu^*).$$

Put the interval

$$I_\mu = [l(\mu), r(\mu)).$$

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 4.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

(i) $I_\mu \cap I_\nu = \emptyset$ *for* $\mu, \nu \in B_n(X_A)$ *with* $\mu \neq \nu$.

(ii) $\cup_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} I_\mu = [0,1)$.

For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, we note that the following equalites hold

$$\varphi(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^n}\varphi(S_\mu^* S_\mu) = \frac{1}{\beta^n}\varphi(S_{\mu_n}^* S_{\mu_n}) = \frac{1}{\beta^n}\sum_{j=1}^N A(\mu_n, j)p_j. \tag{4.1}$$

For $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ with $A(i,j) = 1$, we apply (4.1) for $\mu = i$, (i,j) so that

$$\begin{aligned} l(i) &= \sum_{j<i}\varphi(S_j S_j^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j = p(i-1), \\ r(i) &= l(i) + \varphi(S_i S_i^*) = p(i-1) + p_i = p(i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l(i,j) &= \sum_{(\mu_1,\mu_2)\prec(i,j)} \varphi(S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_2}^* S_{\mu_1}^*) = \sum_{(\mu_1,\mu_2)\prec(i,j)} p_{\mu_1\mu_2} \\ &= \sum_{\mu_1=1}^{i-1}\sum_{\mu_2=1}^{N} p_{\mu_1\mu_2} + \sum_{\mu_2=1}^{j-1} p_{i\mu_2} \\ &= \sum_{\mu_1=1}^{i-1}\sum_{\mu_2=1}^{N} A(\mu_1,\mu_2)\frac{1}{\beta}p_{\mu_2} + q(i,j-1) = p(i-1) + q(i,j-1), \\ r(i,j) &= l(i,j) + \varphi(S_i S_j S_j^* S_i^*) = p(i-1) + q(i,j-1) + p_{ij} = p(i-1) + q(i,j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [l(i), r(i)) &= [p(i-1), p(i)) = I_i : \text{ the interval defined in (3.2)}, \\ [l(i,j), r(i,j)) &= [p(i-1) + q(i,j-1), p(i-1) + q(i,j)) = I_{ij} : \text{ the interval defined in (3.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A)$, we have*

$$f_A(I_\mu) = I_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_m} \quad \text{and hence} \quad f_A^{m-1}(I_\mu) = I_{\mu_m} (= [l(\mu_m), r(\mu_m))).$$

Proof. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ is represented on H by identifying S_i with s_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We then see

$$S_\mu S_\mu^* = \chi_{I_\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_A(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = \chi_{f_A(I_\mu)}.$$

Since $S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} \geq S_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_2}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_A(S_\mu S_\mu^*) &= S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_2} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_2}^* S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} \\ &= S_{\mu_2} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_2}^* \end{aligned}$$

so that $\chi_{I_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_m}} = \chi_{f_A(I_\mu)}$. ∎

Lemma 4.3. *For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A)$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, the condition $S_\mu^* S_\mu = S_\nu^* S_\nu$ implies*

$$\frac{r(\mu) - l(\mu)}{r(\nu) - l(\nu)} = \beta^{n-m}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Since $r(\mu) - l(\mu) = \varphi(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^m}\varphi(S_\mu^* S_\mu)$ and similarly $r(\nu) - l(\nu) = \frac{1}{\beta^n}\varphi(S_\nu^* S_\nu)$, the condition $S_\mu^* S_\mu = S_\nu^* S_\nu$ implies (4.2). □

Lemma 4.4. *For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A)$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, the following five conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\Gamma_*^+(\mu) = \Gamma_*^+(\nu)$.

(ii) $S_\mu^* S_\mu = S_\nu^* S_\nu$.

(iii) $S_{\mu_m}^* S_{\mu_m} = S_{\nu_n}^* S_{\nu_n}$.

(iv) $f_A^m(I_\mu) = f_A^n(I_\nu)$.

(v) $f_A(I_{\mu_m}) = f_A(I_{\nu_n})$.

Proof. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(X_A)$, the following identites

$$\chi_{f_A^m(I_\mu)} = \chi_{f_A(I_{\mu_m})} = \lambda_A(S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^*) = S_{\mu_m}^* S_{\mu_m} = S_\mu^* S_\mu$$

hold. They imply the desired assertion. □

Definition 4.5. (i) For a word $\nu \in B_*(X_A)$, an interval $[x_1, x_2)$ in $[0, 1)$ is said to be an *A-adic interval* for ν if $x_1 = l(\nu)$ and $x_2 = r(\nu)$.

(ii) A rectangle $I \times J$ in $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ is said to be an *A-adic rectangle* if both the intervals I, J are A-adic intervals for words $\nu \in B_n(X_A), \mu \in B_m(X_A)$ respectively such that

$$I = [l(\nu), r(\nu)), \qquad J = [l(\mu), r(\mu)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_A^n(I) = f_A^m(J).$$

(iii) For two partitions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{m-1} < x_m = 1, \\ 0 =& y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_{m-1} < y_m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

of $[0, 1)$, put

$$I_p = [x_{p-1}, x_p), \qquad J_p = [y_{p-1}, y_p) \quad \text{for} \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The partition $I_p \times J_q, p, q = 1, \dots, m$ of $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ is said to be an *A-adic pattern of rectangles* if there exists a permutation σ on $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that the rectangles $I_p \times J_{\sigma(p)}$ are A-adic rectangles for all $p = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

For an A-adic pattern of rectangles above, the slopes of diagonals

$$s_p = \frac{y_{\sigma(p)} - y_{\sigma(p)-1}}{x_p - x_{p-1}}, \qquad p = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

are said to be *rectangle slopes*.

Definition 4.6. A piecewise linear function f on $[0,1)$ is called an *A-adic PL function* if f is a right continuous bijection on $[0,1)$ such that there exists an A-adic pattern of rectangles $I_p \times J_p, p = 1,2,\dots,m$ where $I_p = [x_{p-1}, x_p), J_p = [y_{p-1}, y_p), p = 1,\dots,m$ with a permutation σ on $\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that

$$f(x_{p-1}) = y_{\sigma(p)-1}, \qquad f_-(x_p) = y_{\sigma(p-1)+1}, \qquad p = 1,2,\dots,m$$

where $f_-(x_p) = \lim_{h\to 0+} f(x_p - h)$, and f is linear on $[x_{p-1}, x_p)$ with slope $\frac{y_{\sigma(p)} - y_{\sigma(p)-1}}{x_p - x_{p-1}}$ for $p = 1,2,\dots,m$.

Lemma 4.7. *The composition of two A-adic PL functions and the inverse function of an A-adic PL function are also A-adic PL functions.*

By the above lemma, the set of A-adic PL functions forms a group under compositions of functions.

Definition 4.8. We denote by Γ_A^{PL} the group of A-adic PL functions.

The following proposition is immediate by definition of A-adic PL functions.

Proposition 4.9. *An A-adic PL function naturally gives rise to an A-adic pattern of rectangles, whose rectangle slopes are the slope of the A-adic PL function. Conversely, an A-adic pattern of rectangles gives rise to an A-adic PL function by taking the diagonal lines of the corresponding rectangles.*

5 A-adic Tables

Recall that for two words $\mu = (\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m) \in B_m(X_A), \nu = (\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$ with $U_\mu \cap U_\nu = \emptyset$, we write $\mu \prec \nu$ if $\mu_1 = \nu_1, \dots, \mu_k = \nu_k$ and $\mu_{k+1} < \nu_{k+1}$ for some k. Nekrashevych in [19] has introduced a notion of table to represent the Higman–Thompson group V_N. We will generalize the Nekrashevych's notion to a notion of A-adic table in order to represent elements of Γ_A.

Definition 5.1. An *A-adic table* is a matrix T

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\mu(i), \nu(i) \in B_*(X_A), i = 1,\dots,m$ such that

(a) $\Gamma_*^+(\nu(i)) = \Gamma_*^+(\mu(i)), i = 1,\dots,m$,

(b) $X_A = \sqcup_{i=1}^m U_{\nu(i)} = \sqcup_{i=1}^m U_{\mu(i)}$: disjoint unions.

Since the words $\nu(i), i = 1,\dots,m$ satisfy $U_{\nu(i)} \cap U_{\nu(j)} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, we may reorder them such as $\nu(1) \prec \nu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \nu(m)$. As the above two conditions (a), (b) are equivalent to the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 2.2 (1) respectively, we have

Lemma 5.2. *For an element $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, let words $\mu(i), \nu(i), i = 1, \dots, m$ and the unitary $u_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*$ satisfy the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2.2. Then the matrix*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}$$

is an A-adic table.

The A-adic table T above is called a presentation of τ. It is also called that T presents τ.

For an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, let $\eta(i,j) \in B_*(X_A), j = 1, \dots, n_i$ be a family of (possibly empty) words satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) $\eta(i,1) \prec \eta(i,2) \prec \cdots \prec \eta(i,n_i)$,

(ii) $\eta(i,j) \in \Gamma_*^+(\nu(i))$ for $j = 1, \dots, n_i$,

(iii) $U_{\nu(i)} = \cup_{j=1}^{n_i} U_{\nu(i)\eta(i,j)}$.

Since $\Gamma_*^+(\nu(i)) = \Gamma_*^+(\mu(i))$, one has $\eta(i,j) \in \Gamma_*^+(\mu(i))$ and $U_{\mu(i)} = \cup_{j=1}^{n_i} U_{\mu(i)\eta(i,j)}$. Put

$$\nu(i,j) = \nu(i)\eta(i,j), \quad \mu(i,j) = \mu(i)\eta(i,j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i,\ i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{5.1}$$

Then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu(1,1) & \cdots & \mu(1,n_1) & \mu(2,1) & \cdots & \mu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \mu(m,1) & \cdots & \mu(m,n_m) \\ \nu(1,1) & \cdots & \nu(1,n_1) & \nu(2,1) & \cdots & \nu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \nu(m,1) & \cdots & \nu(m,n_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

is an A-adic table, which is called an *expansion* of T. Let us denote by $\approx$ the equivalence relation in the A-adic tables generated by the expansions. This means that two A-adic tables

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad T' = \begin{bmatrix} \mu'(1) & \mu'(2) & \cdots & \mu'(m) \\ \nu'(1) & \nu'(2) & \cdots & \nu'(m) \end{bmatrix},$$

are equivalent and written $T \approx T'$ if there exists a finite sequence $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$ of A-adic tables such that $T = T_1, T' = T_k$ and T_i is an expansion of T_{i+1}, or T_{i+1} is an expansion of T_i.

Lemma 5.3. *For $\tau, \tau' \in \Gamma_A$, let T, T' be A-adic tables presenting τ, τ' respectively. Then $\tau = \tau'$ if and only if $T \approx T'$.*

Proof. Let T and T' be the matrices

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad T' = \begin{bmatrix} \mu'(1) & \mu'(2) & \cdots & \mu'(m) \\ \nu'(1) & \nu'(2) & \cdots & \nu'(m) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that T' is an expansion of T. We write T' as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu(1,1) & \cdots & \mu(1,n_1) & \mu(2,1) & \cdots & \mu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \mu(m,1) & \cdots & \mu(m,n_m) \\ \nu(1,1) & \cdots & \nu(1,n_1) & \nu(2,1) & \cdots & \nu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \nu(m,1) & \cdots & \nu(m,n_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mu(i,j)$ and $\nu(i,j)$ are words for $\eta(i,j)$ as in (5.1). The homeomorphisms τ and τ' are induced by the unitaries u_T and $u_{T'}$ defined by

$$u_T = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* \quad \text{and} \quad u_{T'} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{\mu'(i)} S_{\nu'(i)}^*$$

such as $f \circ \tau^{-1} = \mathrm{Ad}(u_\tau)(f)$ and $f \circ \tau'^{-1} = \mathrm{Ad}(u_{\tau'})(f)$ for $f \in C(X_A) = \mathcal{D}_A$. As

$$S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} S_{\mu(i)} S_{\eta(i,j)} S_{\eta(i,j)}^* S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} S_{\mu(i,j)} S_{\nu(i,j)}^*,$$

we have

$$u_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{\mu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} S_{\mu(i)} S_{\eta(i,j)} S_{\eta(i,j)}^* S_{\nu(i)}^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} S_{\mu(i,j)} S_{\nu(i,j)}^* = u_{\tau'}$$

so that $\tau = \tau'$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau = \tau'$. Let

$$K' = \mathrm{Max}\{|\nu'(i)| \mid 1 \le i \le m'\}, \qquad L' = \mathrm{Max}\{|\mu'(i)| \mid 1 \le i \le m'\}.$$

There exist admissible words $\eta(i,j) \in B_*(X_A), j = 1, \dots, n_i$, $i = 1. \dots, m$ such that

(a) $\eta(i,1) \prec \eta(i,2) \prec \cdots \prec \eta(i,n_i)$,

(b) $\eta(i,j) \in \Gamma_*^+(\nu(i))$,

(c) $|\nu(i)\eta(i,j)| \ge K'$, $|\mu(i)\eta(i,j)| \ge L'$,

(d) $U_{\nu(i)} = \sqcup_{j=1}^{n_i} U_{\nu(i)\eta(i,j)}$, $U_{\mu(i)} = \sqcup_{j=1}^{n_i} U_{\mu(i)\eta(i,j)}$.

Put

$$\nu(i,j) = \nu(i)\eta(i,j), \quad \mu(i,j) = \mu(i)\eta(i,j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i,\ i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$T^\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1,1) & \cdots & \mu(1,n_1) & \mu(2,1) & \cdots & \mu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \mu(m,1) & \cdots & \mu(m,n_m) \\ \nu(1,1) & \cdots & \nu(1,n_1) & \nu(2,1) & \cdots & \nu(2,n_2) & \cdots & \nu(m,1) & \cdots & \nu(m,n_m) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence T^η is an expansion of T. We will compare T^η and T'. Put

$$F_k = \{(i,j) \mid \nu(i,j) \prec \nu'(k)\}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, m'.$$

Since $|\nu(i,j)| \ge K'$, $|\mu(i,j)| \ge L'$, one has

$$\nu'(k) = \cup_{(i,j) \in F_k} \nu(i,j).$$

Since $|\nu(i,j)| \ge |\nu'(k)|$, there exist $\eta'(k,(i,j)) \in B_*(X_A)$ such that

$$\nu(i,j) = \nu'(k)\eta'(k,(i,j)) \quad \text{for} \quad (i,j) \in F_k.$$

As $\tau = \tau'$, we have

$$\tau(\chi_{U_{\nu(i,j)}}) = \chi_{U_{\mu(i)\eta(i,j)}} = \chi_{U_{\mu(i,j)}} = \tau'(\chi_{U_{\nu(i,j}})) = \chi_{U_{\mu'(k)\eta'(k,(i,j))}}$$

so that

$$\mu(i,j) = \mu'(k)\eta'(k,(i,j)) \quad \text{for} \quad (i,j) \in F_k.$$

This implies that T^η is an expansion of T' to prove that T is equivalent to T'. $\square$

We denote by $[T]$ the equivalence class of an A-adic table T. For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, denote by T_τ an A-adic table presenting τ. The preceding lemma says that its equivalence class $[T_\tau]$ does not depend on the choice of T_τ presenting τ.

An A-adic table $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m)\\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m)\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ presenting $\tau \in \Gamma_A$ is said to be *reduced* if it has a minimal length m in the set of A-adic tables presenting τ. Recall that for a word $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m) \in B_*(X_A)$, we write $\Gamma_1^+(\mu) = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \mid A(\mu_m, j) = 1\}$. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 5.4. *For an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m)\\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m)\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $i = 1, \ldots, m$, let $\Gamma_1^+(\mu(i)) = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{n_i}}\}$ such that $\alpha_{i_1} < \alpha_{i_2} < \cdots < \alpha_{i_{n_i}}$. Put the words*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(i,1) &= \mu(i)\alpha_{i_1}, \quad \mu(i,2) = \mu(i)\alpha_{i_2}, \quad \ldots, \quad \mu(i,n_i) = \mu(i)\alpha_{i_{n_i}},\\ \nu(i,1) &= \nu(i)\alpha_{i_1}, \quad \nu(i,2) = \nu(i)\alpha_{i_2}, \quad \ldots, \quad \nu(i,n_i) = \nu(i)\alpha_{i_{n_i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the A-adic table T_i' defined by

$$T_i' = \begin{bmatrix}\mu(1) & \cdots & \mu(i-1) & \mu(i,1) & \cdots & \mu(i,n_i) & \mu(i+1) & \cdots & \mu(m)\\ \nu(1) & \cdots & \nu(i-1) & \nu(i,1) & \cdots & \nu(i,n_i) & \nu(i+1) & \cdots & \nu(m)\end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to T.

For an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m)\\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m)\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, define the range depth $R(T)$ and the domain depth $D(T)$ by

$$R(T) = \mathrm{Max}\{|\mu(i)| \mid 1 \le i \le m\}, \qquad D(T) = \mathrm{Max}\{|\nu(i)| \mid 1 \le i \le m\}.$$

By using the above lemma recursively, we know the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m)\\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m)\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be an A-adic table.*

(i) *For an integer $p \ge D(T)$, there exists an A-adic table $T' = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu'(1) & \mu'(2) & \cdots & \mu'(m')\\ \nu'(1) & \nu'(2) & \cdots & \nu'(m')\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that $T' \approx T$ and $\{\nu'(i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m'\} = B_p(X_A)$.*

(ii) *For an integer $q \ge R(T)$, there exists an A-adic table $T'' = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu''(1) & \mu''(2) & \cdots & \mu''(m'')\\ \nu''(1) & \nu''(2) & \cdots & \nu''(m'')\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that $T'' \approx T$ and $\{\mu''(i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m''\} = B_q(X_A)$.*

Let T_1, T_2 be two A-adic tables. Take M such that $M \ge D(T_1), R(T_2)$. By the preceding lemma, there exist A-adic tables

$$T_1' = \begin{bmatrix}\mu_1'(1) & \mu_1'(2) & \cdots & \mu_1'(p)\\ \nu_1'(1) & \nu_1'(2) & \cdots & \nu_1'(p)\end{bmatrix}, \qquad T_2' = \begin{bmatrix}\mu_2'(1) & \mu_2'(2) & \cdots & \mu_2'(q)\\ \nu_2'(1) & \nu_2'(2) & \cdots & \nu_2'(q)\end{bmatrix}$$

such that $T_1' \approx T_1$ and $T_2' \approx T_2$ and

$$|\nu_1'(1)| = \cdots = |\nu_1'(p)| = |\mu_2'(1)| = \cdots = |\mu_2'(q)| = M.$$

Hence we have $p = q = |B_M(X_A)|$. One may take

$$\nu_1'(1) \prec \nu_1'(2) \prec \cdots \prec \nu_1'(p), \qquad \mu_2'(1) \prec \mu_2'(2) \prec \cdots \prec \mu_2'(q)$$

so that

$$\nu_1'(1) = \mu_2'(1), \quad \nu_1'(2) = \mu_2'(2), \quad \ldots, \quad \nu_1'(p) = \mu_2'(q).$$

Define the product $T_1' \circ T_2'$ by the A-adic table

$$T_1' \circ T_2' = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1'(1) & \mu_1'(2) & \cdots & \mu_1'(p) \\ \nu_2'(1) & \nu_2'(2) & \cdots & \nu_2'(p) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $T_1' \circ T_2'$ is an A-adic table. It is straightforward to see that the equivalence class $[T_1' \circ T_2']$ does not depend on the choice of representatives T_1' of $[T_1']$ and T_2' of $[T_2']$. Hence one may define the product $[T_1] \circ [T_2]$ by the equivalence class $[T_1' \circ T_2']$ of the product $T_1' \circ T_2'$.

For an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, define an A-adic table

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \\ \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The identity table denoted by I is defined by

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & N \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & N \end{bmatrix}$$

where the two rows of I denote the list of the ordered symbols $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\} = B_1(X_A)$.

Lemma 5.6. *Keep the above notations.*

(i) *The equivalence class $[I]$ of I is the unit of the product operations in the equivalence classes of the A-adic tables.*

(ii) *If $T \approx T'$, then $T^{-1} \approx T'^{-1}$.*

Since $T^{-1} \circ T \approx I$ and $T \circ T^{-1} \approx I$, the class $[T^{-1}]$ of T^{-1} is the inverse of $[T]$ in the equivalence classes of the A-adic tables.

Definition 5.7. Denote by Γ_A^{tab} the group of the equivalence classes of A-adic tables.

Therefore we have

Proposition 5.8. *The correspondence $\tau \in \Gamma_A \longrightarrow [T_\tau] \in \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}}$ gives rise to an isomorphism of groups.*

Proof. Let $\tau, \tau' \in \Gamma_A$. By Lemma 5.3, $\tau = \tau'$ if and only if $[T_\tau] = [T_{\tau'}]$. It is direct to see that for $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Gamma_A$, the equivalence class $[T_{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2}]$ of an A-adic table $T_{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2}$ presenting the composition $\tau_1 \circ \tau_2$ is the class of the product $[T_{\tau_1}] \circ [T_{\tau_2}]$. Hence the correspondence $\tau \in \Gamma_A \longrightarrow [T_\tau] \in \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}}$ gives rise to an isomorphism of groups. □

6 Isomorphisms among Γ_A, Γ_A^{tab} and Γ_A^{PL}

In the preceding section, we have shown that the two groups Γ_A, Γ_A^{tab} are isomorphic. In this section, we will show that these two groups are isomorphic to the group Γ_A^{PL} of A-adic PL functions.

Lemma 6.1. *For an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, there exist an A-adic pattern of rectangles whose rectangle slopes are*

$$\beta^{|\nu(1)|-|\mu(1)|}, \beta^{|\nu(2)|-|\mu(2)|}, \ldots, \beta^{|\nu(m)|-|\mu(m)|},$$

and an A-adic PL function f_T having these rectangle slopes such that

$$f_T(I_{\nu(i)}) = I_{\mu(i)}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \tag{6.1}$$

Conversely, for an A-adic PL function f with the A-adic pattern of rectangles $I_p \times J_{\sigma(p)}, p = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and a permutation σ on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, there exists an A-adic table $T_f = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that

$$I_p = I_{\nu(p)}, \qquad J_{\sigma(p)} = I_{\mu(p)}, \qquad p = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Proof. We are assuming the ordering such as $\nu(1) \prec \cdots \prec \nu(m)$. Since X_A is a disjoint union $X_A = \sqcup_{j=1}^{m} U_{\mu(j)}$, there exists a permutation σ_0 on $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\mu(\sigma_0(1)) \prec \mu(\sigma_0(2)) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(\sigma_0(m))$. Put

$$x_i = l(\nu(i+1)), \qquad y_i = l(\mu(\sigma_0(i+1))), \qquad i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$$

so that $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ and

$$I_p = [x_{p-1}, x_p), \qquad J_p = [y_{p-1}, y_p), \qquad p = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

where $x_p = y_p = 1$. Define the permutation $\sigma := \sigma_0^{-1}$ on $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. We note that $r(\nu(i)) = l(\nu(i+1)), r(\mu(\sigma_0(i))) = l(\mu(\sigma_0(i+1)))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$. Then the rectangles $I_p \times J_{\sigma(p)}, p = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ are A-adic rectangles by Lemma 4.4 such that

$$\frac{y_{\sigma(p)} - y_{\sigma(p)-1}}{x_p - x_{p-1}} = \frac{r(\mu(p)) - l(\mu(p))}{r(\nu(p)) - l(\nu(p))}.$$

We then have

$$r(\nu(p)) - l(\nu(p)) = \varphi(S_{\nu(p)} S_{\nu(p)}^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^{|\nu(p)|}} \varphi(S_{\nu(p)}^* S_{\nu(p)})$$

and similarly $r(\mu(p)) - l(\mu(p)) = \frac{1}{\beta^{|\mu(p)|}} \varphi(S_{\mu(p)}^* S_{\mu(p)})$. As the condition $\Gamma_*^+(\nu(p)) = \Gamma_*^+(\mu(p))$ implies $S_{\nu(p)}^* S_{\nu(p)} = S_{\mu(p)}^* S_{\mu(p)}$, we have

$$\frac{y_{\sigma(p)} - y_{\sigma(p)-1}}{x_p - x_{p-1}} = \beta^{|\nu(p)|-|\mu(p)|}, \qquad p = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

By Proposition 4.9, one immediately knows that the assocated A-adic PL function denoted by f_T with the above A-adic pattern of rectangles satisfies the condition (6.1).

The converse implication is straightforward by Lemma 4.4. □

We may directly construct an A-adic PL function f_T from an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ as follows. Put $x_i = l(\nu(i+1)), \hat{y}_i = l(\mu(i+1))$ and $f_T(x_i) = \hat{y}_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ Define $f_T(x)$ on $[x_{i-1}, x_i)$ as a linear function with slope $\beta^{|\nu(i)|-|\mu(i)|}(= \frac{r(\mu(i))-l(\mu(i))}{r(\nu(i))-l(\nu(i))} = \frac{\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}})$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. It is easy to see that the function f_T is an A-adic PL function. Let us denote by ι the A-adic PL function defined by $\iota(x) = x, x \in [0, 1)$. The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 6.2. *For two A-adic tables T_1, T_2, we have*

(i) *T_1 is equivalent to T_2 if and only if $f_{T_1} = f_{T_2}$ as functions. Hence we may write f_T as $f_{[T]}$.*

(ii) $f_{[T_1]\circ[T_2]} = f_{[T_1]} \circ f_{[T_2]}$.

(iii) $\iota = f_{[I]}$.

We reach the main result of the paper.

Theorem 6.3. *There exist canonical isomorphisms of discrete groups among the continuous full group Γ_A, the group Γ_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of A-adic tables, and the group Γ_A^{PL} of A-adic PL functions on $[0, 1)$, that is*

$$\Gamma_A \cong \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \cong \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{PL}}.$$

In particular, the continuous full group Γ_A for a topological Markov shift (X_A, σ) is realized as the group of all A-adic PL functions on $[0, 1)$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, we have an isomorphism from the continuous full group Γ_A to the group Γ_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of A-adic tables. By Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2, the correspondence $[T] \in \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \longrightarrow f_T \in \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{PL}}$ yields an isomorphism. □

7 A realization of Γ_A as A-adic PL functions

In this section, we will constuct a continuous surjection of the shift space X_A onto the interval $[0, 1]$ which yields a presentation of elements of the continuous full group Γ_A to the group Γ_A^{PL} of A-adic PL functions. For $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, consider the word $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in B_n(X_A)$. We set

$$l_n(x) = l((x_1, \ldots, x_n)), \qquad r_n(x) = r((x_1, \ldots, x_n)).$$

Lemma 7.1. *For $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

(i) $l_n(x) \le l_{n+1}(x) \le r_{n+1}(x) \le r_n(x)$.

(ii) $|r_n(x) - l_n(x)| \le \frac{1}{\beta^n}$.

Proof. (i) For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, the condition $\mu \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ implies $\mu j \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all j with $A(\mu_n, j) = 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} l_n(x) &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in B_n(X_A) \\ \mu \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n)}} \varphi(S_\mu S_\mu^*) = \sum_{j=1}^N A(\mu_n, j) \sum_{\substack{\mu \in B_n(X_A) \\ \mu \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n)}} \varphi(S_{\mu j} S_{\mu j}^*) \\ &\le \sum_{\substack{\nu \in B_{n+1}(X_A) \\ \nu \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})}} \varphi(S_\nu S_\nu^*) = l_{n+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$l_{n+1}(x) = l_n(x) + \sum_{j < x_{n+1}} \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j}^*) \tag{7.1}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n+1}(x) &= l_{n+1}(x) + \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+1}} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+1}}^*) \\ &= l_n(x) + \sum_{j \le x_{n+1}} \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j}^*) \\ &\le l_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j}^*) \\ &= l_n(x) + \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n}^*) = r_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By the equality $r_n(x) = l_n(x) + \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n}^*)$ with

$$\varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n}^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^n} \sum_{j=1}^N A(x_n, j) p_j, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^N p_j = 1,$$

we have $|r_n(x) - l_n(x)| \le \frac{1}{\beta^n}$. □

Lemma 7.2. *For $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

(i) *$l_n(x) = l_{n+1}(x)$ if and only if $x_{n+1} = \mathrm{Min}\{j = 1, \dots, N \mid A(x_n, j) = 1\}$.*

(ii) *$r_n(x) = r_{n+1}(x)$ if and only if $x_{n+1} = \mathrm{Max}\{j = 1, \dots, N \mid A(x_n, j) = 1\}$.*

Proof. (i) By (7.1), one sees that $l_{n+1}(x) = l_n(x)$ if and only if $\sum_{j < x_{n+1}} \varphi(S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j} S_{x_1 \cdots x_n j}^*) = 0$. Since the state φ on $\mathcal{D}_A$ is faithful, the latter condition is equivalent to the condition that there does not exist $j = 1, \dots, N$ such that $j < x_{n+1}$ and $A(x_n, j) = 1$. Hence we have the desired assertion.

(ii) is similar to (i). □

For a word $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in B_n(X_A)$, let us denote by $\omega_{\min} = (\underline{\omega}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ (resp. $\omega_{\max} = (\overline{\omega}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_A$) its minimal (resp. maximal) extension to a right infinite sequence in X_A, which is defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\omega}_i &= \omega_i \quad (\text{resp. } \overline{\omega}_i = \omega_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \underline{\omega}_{n+k} &= \mathrm{Min}\{j = 1, 2, \dots, N \mid A(\underline{\omega}_{n+k-1}, j) = 1\}, \\ (\text{resp. } \overline{\omega}_{n+k} &= \mathrm{Max}\{j = 1, 2, \dots, N \mid A(\overline{\omega}_{n+k-1}, j) = 1\}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2, one has $l(\omega) = l_{n+k}(\omega_{\min})$ and $r(\omega) = r_{n+k}(\omega_{\max})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For the two symbols 1, $N \in B_1(X_A)$, we may consider the elements $1_{\min}$, $N_{\max}$ in X_A so that we see

Lemma 7.3. *$l_n(1_{\min}) = 0$, $r_n(N_{\max}) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

For two sequences $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, we write $x \prec y$ if $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n = y_n, x_{n+1} < y_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence X_A becomes an ordered space such that $1_{\min}$ (resp. $N_{\max}$) is minimum (resp. maximum). Recall that for a word $\mu \in B_*(X_A)$, denote by I_μ the interval $[l(\mu), r(\mu))$, so that $\bar{I}_\mu = [l(\mu), r(\mu)]$.

Proposition 7.4. *There exists an order preserving surjective continuous map $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0,1]$ such that*

$$\rho_A(1_{\min}) = 0, \qquad \rho_A(N_{\max}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_A(U_\mu) = \bar{I}_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in B_n(X_A).$$

Proof. For $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, there exists an element $\lim_{n\to\infty} l_n(x) (= \lim_{n\to\infty} r_n(x))$ in $[0,1]$ which we denote by $\rho_A(x)$. It satisfies the inequalities $l_n(x) \le \rho_A(x) \le r_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the above lemma, we have

$$\rho_A(1_{\min}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} l_n(1_{\min}) = 0, \qquad \rho_A(N_{\max}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_n(N_{\max}) = 1.$$

We will next show that $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is surjective. For $t \in [0,1]$, we may assume that $t < 1$ because $\rho_A(N_{\max}) = 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 4.1 (ii), one may find a word $\mu^{(n)} \in B_n(X_A)$ such that $t \in I_{\mu^{(n)}}$. The first n-symbols of $\mu^{(n+1)}$ coincide with $\mu^{(n)}$ so that the sequence $\{\mu^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of words defines a right infinite sequence $x_t = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X_A such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu^{(n)}$. Since $l(\mu^{(n)}) \le t \le r(\mu^{(n)})$ and $|r(\mu^{(n)}) - l(\mu^{(n)})| < \frac{1}{\beta^n}$, one sees that $\rho_A(x_t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} l(\mu^{(n)}) = t$ so that $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is surjective.

For $\mu \in B_n(X_A)$ and $x \in U_\mu$, one sees that $l(\mu) = l_n(x) \le \rho_A(x) \le r_n(x) = r(\mu)$ so that $\rho_A(x) \in [l(\mu), r(\mu)]$. Hence we have $\rho_A(U_\mu) \subset \bar{I}_\mu$. As $\rho_A(X_A) = [0,1]$ and $[0,1) = \sqcup_{\mu\in B_n(X_A)} I_\mu$ is a disjoint union for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $I_\mu \subset \rho_A(U_\mu)$ so that $\rho_A(U_\mu) = \bar{I}_\mu$. This also shows that ρ_A is order preserving. □

We will represent A-adic PL functions on $[0,1]$ by using the surjection $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0,1]$. For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, let $T_\tau = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be its reduced presentation. Let C_τ be the finite subset of $[0,1]$ defined by

$$C_\tau = \{l(\nu(i)) \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\} (= \{r(\nu(i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}).$$

Then the A-adic PL function f_τ associated with the A-adic table T_τ is continuous and linear on $[0,1)$ except C_τ. We define a finite subset S_τ of X_A by

$$S_\tau = \{\nu(i)_{\min} \in X_A \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

so that $\rho_A(S_\tau) = C_\tau$.

Proposition 7.5. *For $\tau \in \Gamma$, we have $f_\tau(\rho_A(x)) = \rho_A(\tau(x))$ for all $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$.*

Proof. Since X_A is a disjoint union $\sqcup_{i=1}^{M} U_{\nu(i)}$, for $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$ we may take $\nu(i) = (\nu(i)_1, \dots, \nu(i)_{l_i})$ such that $x \in U_{\nu(i)}$. We write $x = (\nu(i)_1, \dots, \nu(i)_{l_i}, x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, \dots)$. As $x \notin S_\tau$, the function f_τ is continuous at x. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\tau(\rho_A(x)) &= f_\tau(\lim_{n\to\infty} r(\nu(i)_1, \dots, \nu(i)_{l_i}, x_{l_i+1}, \dots, x_{l_i+n})) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} f_\tau(r(\nu(i)_1, \dots, \nu(i)_{l_i}, x_{l_i+1}, \dots, x_{l_i+n})) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} r(\mu(i)_1, \dots, \mu(i)_{k_i}, x_{l_i+1}, \dots, x_{l_i+n})) \\ &= \rho_A(\tau(x)). \end{aligned}$$

□

We will next define the derivative of $\tau \in \Gamma_A$. For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, let l_τ, k_τ be $\mathbb{Z}_+$-valued continuous functions on X_A satisfying (2.2).

Lemma 7.6. *For $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, define $d_\tau : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by setting*

$$d_\tau(x) = l_\tau(x) - k_\tau(x), \qquad x \in X_A.$$

Then d_τ does not depend on the choice of the functions l_τ, k_τ satisfying (2.2).

Proof. Let l'_τ, k'_τ be another continuous functions on X_A such that

$$\sigma_A^{k'_\tau(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l'_\tau(x)}(x), \qquad x \in X_A. \tag{7.2}$$

For $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, the identities (2.2) and (7.2) ensure us that there exist words $(\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k_\tau(x)}(x)) \in B_{k_\tau(x)}(X_A)$ and $(\mu'_1(x), \dots, \mu'_{k'_\tau(x)}(x)) \in B_{k'_\tau(x)}(X_A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k_\tau(x)}(x), x_{l_\tau(x)+1}, x_{l_\tau(x)+2}, \dots) \\ &= (\mu'_1(x), \dots, \mu'_{k'_\tau(x)}(x), x_{l'_\tau(x)+1}, x_{l'_\tau(x)+2}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

For any $n > k_\tau(x), k'_\tau(x)$, by taking the nth coordinates of the above sequences, we see that

$$x_{n-k_\tau(x)+l_\tau(x)} = x_{n-k'_\tau(x)+l'_\tau(x)}.$$

Put $d'_\tau(x) = l'_\tau(x) - k'_\tau(x)$ and $K(x) = \mathrm{Max}\{k_\tau(x), k'_\tau(x)\}$, so that

$$\sigma_A^{K(x)+d_\tau(x)}(x) = \sigma_A^{K(x)+d'_\tau(x)}(x).$$

Suppose that $d_\tau(x) \neq d'_\tau(x)$ for some $x \in X_A$. The above equality implies that x is an eventually periodic point. As the functions $K, d_\tau, d_{\tau'}$ are all continuous, all elements of some neighborhood of x are eventually periodic. Since the set of non-eventually periodic points is dense in X_A, we have a contradiction and hence $d_\tau = d'_\tau$. □

Lemma 7.7. *For $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Gamma_A$, we have*

(i) $d_{\tau_2\circ\tau_1} = d_{\tau_1} + d_{\tau_2} \circ \tau_1$.

(ii) $d_{\tau^{-1}} = -d_\tau \circ \tau^{-1}$.

Proof. (i) For $\tau_i \in \Gamma_A$, take continuous functions $k_{\tau_i}, l_{\tau_i} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_i}(x)}(\tau_i(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_i}(x)}(x), \qquad i = 1, 2,\ x \in X_A$$

so that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\tau_2(\tau_1(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\tau_1(x)), \qquad x \in X_A.$$

It then follows that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_1}(x)}(\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\tau_2(\tau_1(x)))) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_1}(x)}(\tau_1(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\sigma_A^{l_{\tau_1}(x)}(x))$$

so that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_1}(x)+k_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(\tau_2 \circ \tau_1(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_1}(x)+l_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))}(x).$$

Hence we have

$$d_{\tau_2\circ\tau_1}(x) = \{l_{\tau_1}(x) + l_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))\} - \{k_{\tau_1}(x) + k_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x))\} = d_{\tau_1}(x) + d_{\tau_2}(\tau_1(x)).$$

(ii) By (2.2), we have

$$\sigma_A^{k_\tau(\tau^{-1}(x))}(x) = \sigma_A^{l_\tau(\tau^{-1}(x))}(\tau^{-1}(x)), \qquad x \in X_A$$

so that

$$d_{\tau^{-1}}(x) = k_\tau(\tau^{-1}(x)) - l_\tau(\tau^{-1}(x)) = -d_\tau(\tau^{-1}(x)).$$

□

Definition 7.8. For an element $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, the *derivative* D_τ of τ is defined by a real valued continuous function D_τ on X_A:

$$D_\tau(x) = \beta^{d_\tau(x)}, \qquad x \in X_A, \tag{7.3}$$

where β is the Perron eigenvalue of the matrix A.

The derivative D_τ of τ is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{D}_A$. Recall that φ stands for the unique KMS-state on $\mathcal{O}_A$ for gauge action. The following proposition shows that D_τ satisfies the law of derivatives.

Proposition 7.9. *For $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Gamma_A$, we have*

(i) $\varphi(D_\tau) = 1$.

(ii) $D_{\tau_2\circ\tau_1} = D_{\tau_1} \cdot (D_{\tau_2} \circ \tau_1)$.

(iii) $D_{\tau^{-1}} = (D_\tau \circ \tau^{-1})^{-1}$.

Proof. Suppose that τ is given by an A-adic table $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ so that $u_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)}S_{\nu(i)}^*$, $S_{\mu(i)}^*S_{\mu(i)} = S_{\nu(i)}^*S_{\nu(i)}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m S_{\mu(i)}S_{\mu(i)}^* = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\nu(i)}S_{\nu(i)}^* = 1$. Put $\lambda_A(f) = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^* f S_i$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}_A$. It then follows that

$$\lambda_A^{|\mu(i)|}(u_\tau S_{\nu(i)}S_{\nu(i)}^* u_\tau^*) = \lambda_A^{|\mu(i)|}(S_{\mu(i)}S_{\mu(i)}^*) = S_{\mu(i)}^*S_{\mu(i)} = S_{\nu(i)}^*S_{\nu(i)}$$

so that

$$\lambda_A^{|\mu(i)|}(u_\tau S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* u_\tau^*) = \lambda_A^{|\nu(i)|}(S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

As $\varphi \circ \lambda_A = \beta\varphi$ on $\mathcal{D}_A$, we have

$$\varphi(u_\tau S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* u_\tau^*) = \beta^{|\nu(i)|-|\mu(i)|}\varphi(S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{7.4}$$

Since $d_\tau(x) = l_\tau(x) - k_\tau(x) = |\nu(i)| - |\mu(i)|$ for $x \in U_{\nu(i)}$, the derivative D_τ is expressed as

$$D_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta^{|\nu(i)|-|\mu(i)|} S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*$$

so that by the equality (7.4) one obtains that

$$\varphi(D_\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta^{|\nu(i)|-|\mu(i)|}\varphi(S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(u_\tau S_{\nu(i)} S_{\nu(i)}^* u_\tau^*) = \varphi(1) = 1.$$

(ii), (iii) By the previous lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\tau_2\circ\tau_1} &= \beta^{d_{\tau_2\circ\tau_1}} = \beta^{d_{\tau_1}} \cdot \beta^{d_{\tau_2}\circ\tau_1} = D_{\tau_1} \cdot D_{\tau_2} \circ \tau_1, \\ D_{\tau^{-1}} &= \beta^{-d_\tau \circ \tau^{-1}} = [D_\tau \circ \tau^{-1}]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As the function f_τ is linear on the interval $I_{\nu(i)} = [l(\nu(i)), r(\nu(i)))$ with slope $\beta^{|\nu(i)|-|\mu(i)|}$, we may summarize the above discussions in the following therem.

Theorem 7.10. *There exists an order preserving continuous surjection $\rho_A : X_A \longrightarrow [0,1]$ from the shift space X_A of a one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) to the closed interval $[0,1]$ such that for any element $\tau \in \Gamma_A$, there exists a finite set $S_\tau \subset X_A$ such that the corresponding A-adic PL function f_τ for τ satisfies the following properties:*

(i) *$f_\tau(\rho_A(x)) = \tau(\rho_A(x))$ for $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$,*

(ii) *$\frac{df_\tau}{dt}(\rho_A(x)) = D_\tau(x) = \beta^{d_\tau(x)}$ for $x \in X_A \backslash S_\tau$,*

where $d_\tau(x) = l_\tau(x) - k_\tau(x)$ for the continuous functions $k_\tau, l_\tau : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying $\sigma^{k_\tau(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma^{l_\tau(x)}(x), x \in X_A$ and β is the Perron eigenvalue of A.

8 Generalizations of other Thompson groups

R. J. Thompson has defined finitely presented infinite subgroups F_2, T_2 of V_2 which satisfy $F_2 \subset T_2 \subset V_2$. K. S. Brown [1] has extended the subgroups F_2, T_2 of V_2 to the family $F_N \subset T_N \subset V_N$ of finitely presented subgroups F_N, T_N of V_N such that T_N is a group of piecewise linear homeomorphisms $f : [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on the unit circle having finitely many singularities such that all singularities of f are in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$, the derivative of f at any non-singular point is N^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and F_N is a subgroup of T_N consisting of piecewise linear homeomorphisms $f : [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on the unit interval.

In this section, we generalize the groups F_N, T_N for $1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ to F_A, T_A for irreducible square matrices A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by using the techniques of the preceding sections.

Recall that an element $\tau \in \Gamma_A$ is represented as a cylinder map given by two families $\mu(i), \nu(i), i = 1, \ldots, m$ of words satisfying (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). We may assume that the words $\nu(i), i = 1, \ldots, m$ are ordered such as $\nu(1) \prec \nu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \nu(m)$. We define further properties for $\tau \in \Gamma_A$ as follows. $\tau \in \Gamma_A$ is said to be

(i) *order preserving* if one may take the words $\mu(i), i = 1, \ldots, m$ such as

$$\mu(1) \prec \mu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(m),$$

(ii) *cyclic order preserving* if one may take the words $\mu(i), i = 1, \ldots, m$ such as

$$\mu(k) \prec \mu(k+1) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(m) \prec \mu(1) \prec \mu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(k-1)$$

for some $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$.

If τ is order preserving, it is cyclic order preserving. It is easy to see that the set of order preserving cylinder maps forms a subgroup of Γ_A, and the set of cyclic order preserving cylinder maps forms a subgroup of Γ_A. We denote them by F_A and by T_A and call them the order preserving continuous full group and the cyclic order preserving continuous full group, respectively.

In Definition 4.5 (ii), if one may take σ such as

$$\sigma(k) < \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(m) < \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(k-1) \tag{8.1}$$

for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, the A-adic pattern of rectangles is said to be *A-adic cyclic order preserving pattern of rectangles*. If in particular one may take σ such as $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$, the A-adic pattern of rectangles is said to be *A-adic order preserving pattern of rectangles*.

In Definition 4.6, if one may take σ such as (8.1) for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, an A-adic PL function f is called a *cyclic order preserving A-adic PL function*. If in particular, one may take $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$, f is called an *order preserving A-adic PL function*.

It is easy to see that the set F_A^{PL} of order preserving A-adic PL functions and the set T_A^{PL} of cyclic order preserving A-adic PL functions form subgroups of the group of the A-adic PL functions. Hence we have subgroups of inclusion relations:

$$F_A^{\mathrm{PL}} \subset T_A^{\mathrm{PL}} \subset \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{PL}}.$$

The following proposition is immediate by definition of order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) A-adic PL functions.

Proposition 8.1. *An A-adic order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) PL function naturally gives rise to an A-adic order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) pattern of rectangles, whose rectangle slopes are the slope of the A-adic PL function. Conversely, an A-adic order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) pattern of rectangles gives rise to an A-adic order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) PL function by taking the diagonal lines of the corresponding rectangles.*

In Definition 5.1, let $T = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1) & \mu(2) & \cdots & \mu(m) \\ \nu(1) & \nu(2) & \cdots & \nu(m) \end{bmatrix}$ be an A-adic table such that $\nu(1) \prec \nu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \nu(m)$. Then T is said to be

(i) *order preserving* if $\mu(1) \prec \mu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(m)$,

(ii) *cyclic order preserving* if

$$\mu(k) \prec \mu(k+1) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(m) \prec \mu(1) \prec \mu(2) \prec \cdots \prec \mu(k-1)$$

for some $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$.

If T is order preserving, it is cyclic order preserving. These two properties of A-adic tables are closed under taking expansions of A-adic tables respectively. We see that the set F_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of order preserving A-adic tables and the set T_A^{tab} of the equivalence classes of cyclic order preserving A-adic tables form subgroups of Γ_A^{tab}, respectively. Hence we have subgroups of inclusion relations:

$$F_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \subset T_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \subset \Gamma_A^{\mathrm{tab}}.$$

We further see the following:

Lemma 8.2. *For a table T, let f_T be the associated A-adic PL function. Then T is order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) if and only if the function $f_{[T]}$ is order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving).*

We thus have

Proposition 8.3. *There exist canonical isomorphisms of discrete groups among the order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) continuous full group F_A (resp. T_A), the group F_A^{tab} (resp. T_A^{tab}) of the equivalence classes of order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) A-adic tables and the group F_A^{PL} (resp. T_A^{PL}) of the order preserving (resp. cyclic order preserving) A-adic PL functions on $[0, 1)$, that is*

$$F_A \cong F_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \cong F_A^{\mathrm{PL}}, \qquad T_A \cong T_A^{\mathrm{tab}} \cong T_A^{\mathrm{PL}}.$$

Proof. The isomorphisms in Proposition 5.8 and Theorem 6.3 among Γ_A, Γ_A^{tab} and Γ_A^{PL} preserve the orders of words, so that its restrictions yield desired isomorphisms. □

References

[1] K. S. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **44**(1987), pp. 45–75.

[2] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd and W. R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*, Enseign. Math. (2) **42**(1996), pp. 215–256.

[3] S. Cleary, *Regular subdivision in $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{5})/2]$*, Illinois J. Math. **44**(2000), pp. 453–464.

[4] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57**(1977), pp. 173–185.

[5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.

[6] M. Enomoto, M. Fujii and Y. Watatani, *KMS states for gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_A$*, Math. Japon **29**(1984), pp. 607–619.

[7] G. Higman, *Finitely presented infinite simple groups*, Notes on Pure Mathematics, No. 8 (1974), Australian National University, Camberra.

[8] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[9] K. Matsumoto, *Orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **246**(2010), pp. 199–225.

[10] K. Matsumoto, *Classification of Cuntz–Krieger algebras by orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**(2013), pp. 2329–2342.

[11] K. Matsumoto, *K-groups of the full group actions on one-sided topological Markov shifts*, Discrete and Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33**(2013), pp. 3753–3765.

[12] K. Matsumoto, *Full groups of one-sided topological Markov shifts*, preprint, arXiv:1205.1320, to appear in Israel J. Math..

[13] K. Matsumoto and H. Matui, *Topological full groups of C^*-algebras arising from β-expansions*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **27**(2014), pp. 257–287.

[14] K. Matsumoto and H. Matui, *Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1307.1299, to appear in Kyoto J. Math..

[15] H. Matui, *Some remarks on topological full groups of Cantor minimal systems*, Internat. J. Math. **17**(2006), pp. 231–251.

[16] H. Matui, *Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **104**(2012), pp. 27–56.

[17] H. Matui, *Some remarks on topological full groups of Cantor minimal systems II*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems. **33**(2013), pp. 1542–1549.

[18] H. Matui, *Topologicasl full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type*, preprint, arXiv:1210.5800, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math..

[19] V. V. Nekrashevych, *Cuntz–Pimsner algebras of group actions*, J. Operator Theory, **52** (2004), pp. 223–249.

[20] E. Pardo, *The isomorphism problem for Higman–Thompson groups*, J. Algebra **344**(2011), pp. 172–183.

[21] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 793, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1980).

[22] M. Rørdam, *Classification of Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, K-theory **9**(1995), pp. 31–58.

[23] R. J. Thompson, *Embeddings into finitely generated simple groups which preserve the word problem*, Word Problems II, S.I. Adian, W. W. Boone and G. Higman (Eds.), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics **95**(1980), North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 401–441.